UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/596,284	06/08/2006	Krzysztof Skiba	257.802	4934
37004 POWER DEL V	7590 04/28/200 VALLE LLP		EXAMINER	
233 WEST 72 S			WARD, JOHN A	
NEW YORK, NY 10023			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2885	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/28/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Occurrence	10/596,284	SKIBA, KRZYSZTOF				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	John A. Ward	2885				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 Ja	nuarv 2009.					
·— · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	action is non-final.					
·—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 5</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 5</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 2885

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* **v.** *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims1-3 and 5 are is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Furihata (US 6,309,081) in view of Noguchi et al (US 5,497,293)..

Regarding claims 1 and 5, Furihata ('081) discloses a lighting clip 300 fixed on portion of and edge (figure 1b) having a transparent panel 20, in a removable or permanent way (figure 3), and supplied with a electric power system (figure 4), the clip 300 having on side open to receive a light source 10, a self supporting first and second clamping arm (300) as shown in figure 3, the light source 10 is located in an internal space of the clip and in figure 3 the first clamping arm is diagonal to and eternal surface of the panel in figure (3c).

Regarding claim 3, the clamping arms are of an equal length (figure 3a).

Regarding claims 1 and 5, Furihata does not discloses a connector joining the clamping arms.

Regarding claims 1 and 5, Noguchi et al ('293) disclose a surface illuminant device having a semi-transparent panel 1, and electric power system (not shown) but inherent feature to provide energy to a light source 7, to illuminates a portion of the panel 1 (figure 1) and a first and second clamping arm 6a, 6b.

Regarding claim 2, Noguchi et al discloses all the limitations of the claimed invention as cited in claim 1 above, but does not disclose the clamping arms 6a, 6b being of different lengths, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide to have the clamping arms at different lengths, since it has been held that the provision of adjustability, where needed,

Regarding claim 3, the clamping arms are of an equal length as shown in figure 1

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the lighting clip of Furihata with the illuminant device of Noguchi et al in order to provide means to maintain a permanent connection between the clip and the panel.

involves only routine skill in the art. In re Stevens, 101 USPQ 284 (CCPA 1954).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3 and 5 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. The examiner takes notice that the reference of Furihata discloses all the limitation of the claimed invention, but lacks the

Art Unit: 2885

permanent connection, in which the reference of Noguchi met the deficiency of Furihata by showing the connecting arms.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John A. Ward whose telephone number is 571-272-2386. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jong (James) Lee can be reached on 571-272-7044. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 10/596,284 Page 5

Art Unit: 2885

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JAW April 16, 2009

/John A. Ward/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2885